	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	40/772 020	CHEN ET AL
Notice of Allowability	10/772,930 Examiner	CHEN ET AL. Art Unit
· ·		
	Vincent E. Kovalick	2629
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in to or other appropriate communiciation. This application is suited.	his application. If not included ication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>applicant's amendment</u>	ent dated 2/14/07.	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1, 3-9 and 11-16 (re-numbered	<u>l 1-14)</u> .	
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unexpand a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or	(f) .
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application	No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received i	in this national stage application from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:	•	·
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		reply complying with the requirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.	
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers		(PTO-948) attached
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in	n the Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t		
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT		
•		
•		
		·
Attachment(s)	E Mada after	rmal Datant Application
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		rmal Patent Application
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview Sun Paper No./M	ail Date
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),		mendment/Comment
Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🗌 Examiner's S	tatement of Reasons for Allowance
	9. 🗌 Other	
	_	

Application/Control Number: 10/772,930

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is in response to Applicant's Amendment after Non-Final Rejection, dated February 14, 007 in response to USPTO Office Action dated November 15, 2006.

The cancellation of claims 2 and 10, and the amendments to claims 1, 3-4, 6-9 and 11-16 are sufficient to place the application in a condition for allowance as set forth hereinbelow.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1, 3-9 and 11-16 are allowed.

Relative to claims 1 and 9, the major difference between the teachings of the prior art of record (Wood et al. (USP 5,926,162) and Arai et al. (USP 6,304,236)) and that of the instant invention is that said prior art of record **does not teach** a dynamic driving device comprising a driving path unit used to store a plurality of pre-defined driving paths, said driving paths being defined by a driving path decision process, said driving path decision process being based on a surrounding atmospheric environment to pre-define a plurality of said driving paths corresponding to said surrounding atmospheric environment.

Regarding claims 3 and 11, the major difference between the teachings of the said prior art of record and that of the instant invention is that said prior art of record **does not teach** a dynamic driving device wherein the driving path is a variation of driving voltage from an initial driving voltage to a targeted driving voltage.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,930

Art Unit: 2629

Regarding claims 7 and 15, the major difference between the teachings of the said prior art of record and that of the instant invention is that said prior art of record does not teach a dynamic driving device wherein the operation interface comprises: a dynamic image and an after-adjustment dynamic image, said before-adjustment dynamic image being based on said original driving path; a driving adjustment area for generating a new driving path based on an adjustment command issued by a user, said after-adjustment dynamic image being based on a new driving path generated by said adjustment command; and an execution key for setting the most appropriate driving path as a default driving path, said most appropriate driving path being determined by said user based on the comparison between before-adjustment dynamic image and after-adjustment dynamic image.

Regarding claim 12, the major difference between the teachings of the said prior art of record and that of the instant invention is that said prior art of record does not teach a dynamic driving device wherein the driving path decision process comprising the following steps:

(1) measuring the difference of an image parametric value within a time-related frame of said dynamic image on said liquid crystal display, and then deriving said driving path on said liquid crystal display corresponding to said surrounding atmospheric environment; (2) re-calculating, based on said surrounding atmospheric environment, to obtain said driving path capable of enhancing said display effect of said dynamic images on said liquid crystal display corresponding to said surrounding atmospheric environment.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,930 Page 4

Art Unit: 2629

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U. S. Patent No.

5,694,493

Tuli

U. S. Patent No.

4,516,055

Nelson

Pub. No.

US 2002/0149577

Arai et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,930

Art Unit: 2629

To Respond

Page 5

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Vincent E. Kovalick whose telephone number is 571-272-7669.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 571-272-7681. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Vincent E. Kovalick

March 28, 2007

BIPIN SHALWALA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600